

1 Honorable Robert S. Lasnik  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

10 OMAX CORPORATION,  
11 a Washington corporation,

Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 FLOW INTERNATIONAL  
14 CORPORATION, a Washington  
corporation,

Case Number: CV 04-2334

15 Defendant.

STIPULATED ORDER RE RESPONSES  
TO MOTION TO STRIKE CERTAIN  
MARKMAN RELATED EVIDENCE  
{PROPOSED}

16 FLOW INTERNATIONAL  
17 CORPORATION, a Washington  
corporation,

**NOTE FOR CONSIDERATION: JUNE  
19, 2006**

18 Counterclaimant,

19 v.

20 OMAX CORPORATION,  
21 A Washington corporation

22 Counterclaim Defendant

1 June 8, 2006, Flow International Corporation ("Flow"), defendant and counterclaimant,  
2 filed a Motion (Docs. # 167-168) for to Strike Certain Markman Related Evidence. OMAX and  
3 Flow, through their respective attorneys, have stipulated to an Amended Noting Date and briefing  
4 schedule relating to said Motion to Strike, and have also stipulated to the provisions of the Order  
5 below relating to a document entitled Flow's Sur-Reply to OMAX's Claim Construction Brief  
6 and Supporting Evidence (Local Rule 7(g), Doc. # 186, which Flow filed on June 16, 2006.  
7 ("Flow's Sur-Reply").

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

9 The Noting Date for Flow's Motion to Strike Certain Markman Related Evidence  
10 Summary Judgment is hereby changed from June 23, 2006 to June 27, 2006..

11 Omax's opposition, if any, to Flow's Motion to Strike shall be filed and served on or  
12 before June 22, 2006. Flow's reply, if any, shall be filed and served on or before June 27, 2006.

13 At OMAX's election, it may address contentions and subject matter contained in Flow's  
14 Sur-Reply, in its opposition to Flow's Motion to Strike.

15 . Done and Ordered in Chambers at the United States Courthouse, Seattle,  
16 Washington, this 20th day of June, 2006.

17   
18 Robert S. Lasnik

19 United States District Judge